Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/773,167	FUKUNAGA, TATSUYA	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Seungsook Ham	2817	
All Participants:	Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Seungsook Ham</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Gang Luo</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>1 August 2005</u>	Time:		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:  N/A	•		
Claims discussed: 1, 10			
Prior art documents discussed:  N/A			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Examiner's Amendment.	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>			
		ı	
		·	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	'Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)	

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/773,167	FUKUNAGA, TATSUYA	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Seungsook Ham	2817	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>Seungsook Ham</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Gang Luo (Reg. #50,559)</u> .	(4)	,	
Date of Interview: 6/21/05.			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☑ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:			
Claim(s) discussed: 1.			
Identification of prior art discussed: Williams (US '555) and Kasuga et al. (US '448).			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Discuss the proposed amendment submitted by the applicant. The applicant pointed out the difference between the applicant's invention ("the plurality of portions each including a rectilinear side in a cross section parallel to an H-plane" and "boundaries between the resoantors are in the general shape of the letter Y") and Williams and Kasuga references. The examiner will considered such limitation when the amendment is officially submitted.</u>			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
	_		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	nature, if required	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)